THE Late K. JAMES'S LETTER TO HIS Privy Council,

REFLECTIONS.

LICENSD,

June 14th. 1692.

9. F.

THE LATE

King JAMESs Letter

TO HIS

Privy-Counfellors.

With Just Reslections upon it, and upon the pretended

PRINCE of WALES.

AND, A

Short Account of the Judgment of the PARLIAMENT, 1 E. 4. in Confutation of the Author of [The CASE of ALLEGIANCE to a KING in Possession:] With the Reason why the Sham Birth has not been publickly exposed.

LONDON:

Printed, for Ric. Chismell at the Rose and Crown, in St. Paul's Church yard, MDCXCII.

agarma A. L. Ball

ollowing a rest

PRINCE OF ALLS.

A STATE

horiz Accordat of the Jaconson of the RANGE of the Politics of the Accordance of the State of th

LONDON

Tion is for the Children with Ris and one to

King JAMES's Letter

TO HIS

Privy-Counfellors.

With just Reflections upon it, and upon the pretended PRINCE of WALES, &c.

James R.— I OW much soever the late King may flatter himself with an empty name, if he had consulted his Trusty Counsellors, the Jesuits, or the Laws of that Kingdom which he might have govern'd happily to this day, if he had pleased; he would have sound no reason to continue this Stile.

For the Judgment of his best lov'd Counsellors, I shall refer him to two men of undoubted (a) Lessius. Credit with that Society, (a) Lessius, and Becanus; who both hold, that when a Prince is deposed, he ceases to be a Prince, Christian and becomes a private Person.

(a) Lessius de jure (s) justicia p. 79. Tum desinit esse Princeps, &c. And Fallener's Christian Loyalty p. 343,344,citingthem, both agrecing almost in the same words.

The Authority for this they both place in the Estates of every Kingdom, and hold it justifiable to depose a Prince for Tyranny in the Administration;

when he defigns in his Government, and aims at his private Advantage, and not the publick good, Orc.

But unless it was for the publick Good of this Nation, to bring it into subjection to the See of Rome, and for that end, to exercise an Arbitrary Power in dispensing with the Laws of the Kingdom. it must be granted, that the late King was far from

aiming at the publick good.

For the Laws of England; I shall not here have recourse to those numerous Presidents, which may be produced as Evidences of the Law in this matter; but shall content my self, and, as I believe, the impartial Reader, with an (b) Act of Parlia-Col. 2683. ment, which a Popish Parliament, 12° R. 2. refer to, as known and upon Record, and (c) but very lately before that time put in practice.

bKnighton 12 R. 2. c Meaning the Cafe of E. 2.

Whereby, if the King, through a foolish ob-'flinacy, contempt of his People, or perverse froward will, or any other irregular way, shall ' alienate himself from his People, and will not be govern'd and regulated by the Rights of the King. dom, and laudable Ordinances, made by the Counsel of the Lords and Great men of the Realm; but shall headily in his mad Councils exercise his own Arbi-'trary willsfrom thenceforth it is lawful for them, with the common Assent and Consent of the People of the Realm to abrogate, or depose him from the Throne, ' and fet up in his stead propinquiorem aliquem destirpe Regia, somebody of kin, or near of kin to the 'King, of the Royal Stock. It is not proximum the next upon the Line; and then the aliquem gives

gives a Latitude for choosing any deserving Person of the Stock Royal.

I know it will be faid, that this Law is no obj. I. where to be found, but in an Hiltorian of the

That the Parliament urged fach a Statute, and Anim 1. that it was a known Law, at the time when it was referred to, no man can doubt; tho' indeed it is highly probable that R. 2. having affumed a very Arbitrary Power after this Admonition, caused this Statute, which stared him so full in the Face, to be taken off the File. Certain it is. that when at the end of his Reign the Parliament were enabled to call him to account, among other Grounds for his deposal they say, He had caused the Knighton Rolls and Records concerning the State and Govern. Col. 2752. ment of his Kingdom to be destroyed and razed, to the great prejudice of the People, and disherison of the Crown of the said Kingdom, and this, as is credibly believed, in favour and support of his Evil Governance.

Farther yet, tho' that Statute is not now to be Anfin. a found in words, yet the late King's Coronation Oath might have advertised him of its being in force, as to the substance of it, to this very day.

According to the ancient Formulary he either swore or ought to have sworn, To Grant, Keep, and Confirm the Laws, Customs, and Freedoms granted the Clergy and People, by the most holy and glorious King Edward.

Vid.Rot.claus.t.E.3.
65 mag. cart. ed.
cum privilegio An.
1558. Juramentum
Regis quando coronatur.

This was Edward the Confessor, of immortal Memory for his collecting and strict observing the Laws of the Kingdom, as well as for the admired

Sanctity of his Life.

Whereas the Statute referred to 12° R. 2. makes one of the Conditions upon which the Sovereignty depended to be, that their Kings should observe the Ordinances made by the Counsel of the Lords and Great men of the Realm (the difference between which and Acts of Parliament is obvious) in that Body of the Confessor's Laws transmitted down to vid. Leges us with unquestioned certainty, it is written Rex Regis Ed. debet omnia rite facere in Regno suo & de consilio Procerum suorum, 'The King ought to do all things in 'his Kingdom according to Law, and by the Judg-' ment of his Peers. St. Edward's Law goes farther. and is express, that unless the King perform his duty, and answer the end for which he was constituted Nec nomen Regis in eo constabit, not so much as the name of King shall remain in him.

c. 17. de Regis officio Lambarts Archainnom. Haweden f. 604, &c.

> To proceed to the Letter it felf.

R light Trusty and wel-beloved Cousin and Counsellor we greet you well. Whereas Our Royal Predeceffors wied to call Such of their Privy-Counsel as could conveniently be had, to le present at the

One would think that this was pen'd by some French Secretary, the English is so bald and improper, as where the Letter mentions the calling such of the Privy. Counsel as could be had: And whereas that we have followed, &c. however no example can be shewn Labour

Labour of their Queens, and witnesses of the Births of their Children. * and that We have followed their example at the Birth of Our dearest Son James Prince of Wales.

of any one of his Predecessors, who pretended to have a Privy Countel *Whereas. after he had ceased to be day and King; or, at least, that that, i.e. thought it to any pur- that pole to have witheffes of the Births of their

Children, when themselves had no inheritances to leave them. The same Handlein

But as to the Infinuation concerning the pretended Prince of Wales; his birth would have been less suspected, if all the Witnesses, who swore materially, were not to be thought Parties; if the Bishops had not been sent to the Tower, as it were on purpole to remove the necessity of fending for the then Archbishop of Canterbury, who had not at that time relign'd himself up to the French interest; if there had been credible proof of the late Queen's having been with Child, before the was brought to Bed; and the had not been passed the Years. at which it was usual for Italian Women to bear Children.

And yet if we could believe that the was really brought to Bed of a Son, and that the Son is yet living (the proof of which we must fetch out of an Enemy's Country) how could that in the least affeet the Right of their present Majesties, who have been declared King and Queen by the Authority of the States, and that according to the express Letter of the Statute cited 12° R. 2. which shews that the

States, upon the Abrogation of Abdication of a King, are under no obligation of submitting to the next in the Line, nor can a Prince who has departed from his Title, voluntarily, or by legal implication,

leave any right to descend from him.

Vid.Camdens Eliz.

Indeed when Mary Queen of Scors was adjudged to lose all her right of Succession to the Kingdom of England, for her attempts against Queen Elizabeth, all the Judges of England being confulted, whether this would not cut off the interest of her Son, declared it would not: But it is to be considered.

ies subjected, if all the Witters artherstrange and that cafe

2. That it was very likely, that they would favour King James, who at that time had a great Reputation and Interest in England, as much as they could with any appearance of reason; and there seems some colour for it, from the penning of that Statute, which was made in the view of the pretences of the Queen of Scots, and her known conspiracies, for which she was tryed.

The Statute makes a plain diffinction between a bare defign, and a defign which should take effect. In the first case they exclude and disable the immediate Pretenders if guilty within that Act.

In the second they exclude and disable the Persons precending Title after the Queen, and their Assume, if consenting or privy. Where the first Branch seems capable of an explanation by the 13th, of the Queen which in like case disables persons during their natural lives only, as if such person were naturally dead.

Stat. 27. Eliz. c. 1.

In which Act there is plain provision, that the Issue shall not be prejudiced, but shall be in the same condition as if the Parent had died before the offence committed: and tho' the same might be thought to be intended by the Stat. 27°. yet, it not being expressed, the Lords, with great reason, made a question of it: for if this had been the Intention of the Parliament 27°, one would have thought they would have followed so plain a President so lately set, in words which would admit of no dispute. And it appears very plainly to have been the Judgment of the Parliament 13 Eliz. that the disabling the Party would by operation of Law have disabled the Issue, unless they had particularly provided against it. Certain it is, that the States of

it. Certain it is, that the States of the Realmhaving (a) deposed E 2. he accounted it (b) a special favour that they would choose his Son to succeed

him.

企業方式

However, that precaution was not enough to hinder Us from the malicious Aspersions of such as were resolved to deprive Us of Our Royal Right

(a) Walfingham f. 107.
Rex dignitate regali abdicatur & filius substituitur.
(b) Vid Knighton ad finem regni E. 2.

Here is a smack of the French scribe again: who else could have thought it an hindrance to have been kept from Aspersions? or would any Jacobite, who understands our

language, use words, which admit that the late King stands deprived of his Royal Right,

D

But

But what ever is here suggested, is undoubtedly owing to the just causes of suspition, which the necessity of the matter or their own ill management occasioned; so that it must be imputed to their own fraud or folly: Most, even of them who stand up for a supposed Right in King James, look upon the Prince of Wales as suppositious, and will acquit those from malice in this particular, whom yet they stick not to charge with Rebellion.

That We may not be wanting to Our felf, now it hatb pleased Almighty God, the Supporter of Truth, to give us hopes of further Issue, Our dear Confort the Queen being big, and drawing near her time; We have thought fit to require such of Our Privy-Counsel as can come to attend us here at St. Germans, to be witnesses to our said Confort the Queen's labour. We do therefore hereby fignifie this our Royal Pleasure to you ; that jou may use all possible means to come with what convenient

In this and the former Paragraph such stress is laid upon the birth of Children by the late Queen. the disbelief of the former pretence were the fole occasion of the Abdication, and nothing of Milgovernment, and breach of the Original contract, were in the Case. Witnesses required at the fecond Scene, are Privy Counsellers to the late King, but whether meant of them who had been of the Privy-Council, while he ad. ministred the Governbaft

hast you can; the Queen looking about the middle of May next.

ment, or such as act by Commission from him, to Plot against the prefent Government, does

not appear.

Their Plot, which was within thefe few days the boast and merit of their Party, must now be denied with as great confidence; but if there had wanted other proof, the timing the appointment of the Birth of another Prince, the avowed expectation which they had just at this time, the coming of the French Fleet, the Preparation for a Landing here, and the readiness many expressed to receive them, sufficiently speak their detestable delign: but that Glorious Victory of our Fleet, which has dashed their Hopes, and broken their Measures, will undoubtedly cause an abortion of the intended Birth, or an adjournment to some Month more favourable to them then May, which is expired, and yet we hear of no Young Prince born: but both the late Queen, and the French Partisans, must confess themselves out in their Reckoning : and Almighty God, who, as the Letter observes, is the Supporter of Truth, is the Exposer of Impostures.

And that you may have no scruple on Our side, Our Dear Brother the most Christian King has given his That the nominal most Christian King has passed his word, must be taken upon the word of the late King;

B 2 Royal

Royal word and promise to you as We hereby do, that you shall have leave to come, and, the Queen's Labour being over, to return with all safety.

to which much credit cannot be given by any, who confiders how well he maintained his Coronation Oath, and the Promises which he made in favour of the Church of England: And

what regard the French King has to Oaths, and the most Religious Promises, is notorious to the whole Christian World; and by this time his truest Friends, the Turks, repent of

their having trusted him.

Besides, the promise here is very sallacious; for it is, that they shall have leave to return [the Queen's Labour being over] but if she be with Child of a Cushion, her Labour will never be over; and they shall be detained there till they abjure their Religion.

The iniquity of the Times, the Tyranny of Strangers, and missed Party of Our own Subjects, brought Us under the necessity of using this unusual way,

The Papilts indeed, have reason to complain of the Times, which are very impropitious to them: but even they cannot complain of Tyranny, having had experience of the

lenity of Their Majesties Reign beyond their deserts, or hopes, as the most moderate of them will confess.

Tyranny

Tyranny, in the most common acceptation of the Word, is applied to Tyranny in the Exercise, and the present Administration is in that respect a rescue from Tyranny: nor can their present Majellies be accounted Strangers or Tyrants in Title, who had a true Legal qualification for succeeding to the vacant Throne, and have been fettled in it according to the Constitution of this Government; as all, who know any thing of it must acknowledge: and they only are the missed Party, who believe the contrary. That necessity, which the Letter complains of, came from other causes than are there mentioned, and fuch causes, as are inseparable from that Superstition, the obstinate adhereing to which has had fuch a fate, as the Counsels of the Fesuits have merited and extorted.

Tet We hope it will convince the World of the Truth and Candour of Our Proceedings, to the Confutation of Our Enemies.

The English World would be very easie of belief if they should be convinced by what might be deposed by them, who should be so foolish, or such

Traitors to their Country, as to go to France

upon this occasion,

But should they be to be believed, and swear home to the birth of a Prince at this time; that would not remove all the Objections which have been generally received against the former: Nor, considering the great alteration

in Conftitutions, which the difference of Climates may make, would the late Queen's having a Child in France, be a convincing Evidence, that she might have had one in England, in that state of Health, and Constirution, which the was in here.

We not doubting of your compliance here- had great proofs of with, bid you beartily farmell.

He must needs have their obsequiousness, who (if he can think they were Protestants)

would venture into France upon his parole, or the French King's : yet it is not to be questioned but many had given him mighty 'Affurances of their being resolved to venture all things for his fervice; who yet would venture no farther then in Words, and Oaths, of which they certainly have been liberal to both fides.

Given at Our Court at the Castle of St. Germans, the 2d. of April, Stilo N. 1692. and in the 8th. Year of Our Reign.

A castle seems no improper place for his Court, who is, in effect. but the French King's Prisoner: but what he fends to England, while he is in the power of

the Nations inveterate Enemies, is not likely to prevail with any true English man.

-Metunut Danaos vel dona ferentes. How he comes to reckon this the 8th. Year of his Reign, I cannot tell; I am fure there is no president for it in the English Annals, which will come up to his case. His Brother, I must own, dated his Reign from the death of his Father, and supposed it to have continued during all the times of Tsurpation; but he had not been formally set aside by a regular Convention of the States, nor had any one been chosen, or declared King in his stead.

Indeed, E. 4. was accounted King all the while from his first assuming the Royal Dignity to his death; tho' H. 6. was in possession for a short interval: Yet it is evident that whatever E. 4ths. right was, Vid. Rot. his own Parliament did not account him King by reason of any right, which he had without an Election of the States, upon H. 6ths. incapacity to reign, and breach of the Contrast settled in Parliament, between H. 6. and the Father and Son, Richard Duke of York, and E. 4.

And the short possession of H. 6. after a Redemption of power, was accounted a Nullity, because of his inability to reign, joyned with the consideration of that sudden and transient force which the States were under, and from which they as sud-

dainly freed themselves.

But as Richard Duke of York was never accounted King, being never submitted to by the States, E. 4. was not accounted King, till submitted to: So that the Government was always held to go along with their

their submission, while it was to a Person capable, and they might be thought to have acted with any manner of Freedom,

and full purpole of fettling it.

Rot. Parl. 1 E. 4. Holling head f. 651,662, 663. Stow. 1. 414.

For proof of this, I refer my felf to the Circumstances in Story, and the Records of Parliament of that very time from whence the Divine right men fetch Notion of King of Right, and King in Fact; at which time he who was upon fuccels, adjudged to be the King of Right, was not adjudged to have reigned till the 4th, day of March, on which day he was put into possession by the Confent and Choice of the States of the Kingdom: And tho' the King in fall was held to have been unable to govern, and to have broken the Contract, before that 4th. of March, yet he was accounted to have reign'd till then. And whereas the Author of The Case of Allegiance to a King in possession (who argues unanfwerably upon the Fact yeilded to him by some without any ground) affirms 'That H.6. was attainted of High-Treason against Rickard Duke of York, who was not King, but only declared Heir to the Crown. Which would argue that the Parliament which attainted H. 6. looked upon Richard as the King regnant, notwithstanding the possession of H. 6. the Fact is quite otherwise; for no act against Richard was accounted Treason, nor even against E. 4. till the Parliament had

Case of Alleg. P. 11.

had declared him to be in possession, upon the Submission of the States; and this, though H. 6. had Abdicated before the day by which they adjudged the possession to E. 4. Nor is it material to fay, that feveral Treasons were Assign'd, which were Committeed before King Ed. Case of Alleg. p 11. Al ward's Coronation, unless they which Tressons were Committed had been before the 4th. of on, and so before he was King in March, when the States gave full Poff fion. him Possession. But any man that reads the Parliament Roll without trufting to Abridgments, will find, that E. 4. was not accounted to have began his Reign before 4th. of March; and as in reason, there can be no Treason against any Person before he is King of this Kingdom, neither was any Act against E. 4. before the 4th. of March, on which day he began his Reign, to be Treason even by his own Parliament.

This may fatisfie all unbiaffed Persons, that unless James the 2d. should be readmitted, (which perhaps might argue that the States retained a purpose to return to his Obedience;) his Reign must now, and will in all after ages be held in Judgment of Law to have determined, if not at the time when

the States adjudged the Vacancy to have began, or at least when Their present Majesties were first Declared King and Queen, and that before their taking their Coronation-Oath; as long as they not only refused not to take it, but expressed Their acceptance of the Government, according to the Constitution, by which it is always presumed, till the contrary appears, That every Prince who assumes the Royal Dignity, will take the Coronation-Oath at some convenient time of his own appointment, or their choice.

Vid. inter decem Scriptores Gervacium Dorobern. & Bromton in vità R. Steph. But tho Mand the Empress had been Declared Queen upon Success against King Stephen, yet she refusing to maintain the Confessor's Laws, the promise of which is an Essential part of the Coronation Oath, was rejected almost as soon as received; and by an hasty slight, gave an early example of the late Abdication.

Though she was the Grand-child of one, whom some now will make a Conqueror, freed from any Obligations of observing the old Saxon Laws, yet there was never any pretence set up for her ever after; much less was she thought to have

have continued her Reign; and tho many declared for her Son H. 2. in her lifetime, yet he found it convenient to accept of a fettlement of the Crown, in remainder after the Death of King Stephen, as his Adopted Son; the Charter of which Adoption is to be feen in the Historian Brompton.

But the late King, by breeding the pretended Prince of me Successionem Regni Anglia, & Heredem meum ju-Wales in France, has cut off re Hereditatio constitui, all reasonable expectations of &c.

Heir of this Kingdom.

I know fome men, whose Crimes in former Reigns, and profess'd enmity to the Liberties of England, had engaged them in a Party, which opposed the Accession of Their present Majesties to the Imperial Throne of this Realm, after they had invited His Majesties Arms, and importun'd him to take upon him the Administration; raise a great Clamour upon the filence of Parliaments, in relation to the Putative Prince of Wales; as if it were a tacit Admission that he was real. But, certainly, in this the Parliaments chose to shew, that the Government is fetled upon fuch a bottom, as makes it not in the least material, whether Prince,

Vid Rot. Parl. 1. H. 4.

or no Prince; well knowing that the Convention, which afterwards became a Parliament, upon acting under a legal head, (as did the Parliament, which after being turned a Convention by the Deposal of R. 2. acted with H. 4.) exercised a Power which was vested in them by the Constitution of this Monarchy; and followed the wife examples of H. 8. and of Queen Elizabeth of Glorious memory. H. 8. though he had Bastardiz'd both his Daughters, Mary, and Elizabeth, yet fetled the Crown upon them both successively. in remainder after his Son Edward; without taking off the Illegitimation of either Daughter: Whereby he certainly placed the right of their Successions upon a furer foundation, from the Authority of the King and States to dispose of the Crown. than if he had declared either of the Sifters to be Legitimate. Nor indeed would he have done this without shewing at the fame time that one was Illegitimate; the question being, whether the Mother of Mary, or the Mother of Elizabeth, was the true and lawful Wife.

And though Queen Elizabeth had full opportunity and Power to cause the Act which Bastardiz'd her to be repeal'd; especially after the Protestant Religion was

was throughly setted, and that Party crush'd who held the Pope's Authority, to make good the Marriage of H. 8. with his Brother's Wife, carnally known by him; yet she wisely contented her self with a Title derived from that Constitution of the Monarchy, by virtue of which the Crown had been settled, rather than to setch one from Heaven by a Divine Right of Birth.

That the ignorant followers of blind Guides, may know what was the judgement of the times of H. 8. and Queen Elizabeth, to go no higher, of the Divine Right of Succession, I desire them to read the Acts of Parliament, 28, and 35. 28. H. 8. c. 7. H. 8. which declare, I hat if such Heirs, 35. H. 8. as are there appointed, without regard to the Scotch Family, should fail, and no provision made in the King's life time, who should Rule and Govern this Realm, then the Realm should be destitute of a lawful Governour. And the Journals 8, and 9. of the Queen, with the Act of Parliament, 13. C. 1.

The House of Lords in their Ad-Journals of dress, 8, and 9. Elizabeth, desire that Q. Eliz, f. 105, a Successor might be appointed in Par-

113

liament, lest God should call the Queen without certainty of Succession; and for that the not performing what they desired, would leave the Realm without Government. And that memorable Act 13. Elizabeth, makes it highly Penal, in any after time, to deny the Power of the Parliament, to limit or alter the Succession; and adds a Penalty upon them, who should affirm that any but the Issue of the Queen's body had Right to succeed after her.

13. Eliz.c. 1.

But we shall never be quiet from vain disputes against the Right of the present Government, till it makes examples of Offenders, within the perpetual branch of this wise and equitable Law.

Let any man seriously consider the controversie between them who hold the Late King still to Reign, and those who assert that Their present Majesties are our only Sovereign Lord and Lady, and they will find that the Jacohites have not one true Principle upon which they can six; and all the advantage which they have, is by the concessions of those who would pretend to defend

fend this Establishment, upon the same Principles which carried them to the most servile compliances in the Reign of Charles the Second, and part of the Reign of James the Second; till according to the Scripture-observation, in adversity they considered, and found that to be the consequence of their Doctrines, which they would never believe; and then started back from it with horror: But alas! Nature is too apt to return.

Some having taught, That a Sovereign Prince, while he lives, must retain the Sovereignty as inseparable from his Person, till he has expresly and formally renounced it, admit the Right of the Late King to continue, notwithstanding the Possession of Their Majesties, with the full and regular Confent of the States of the Kingdom; and though the Kingdom has, by the Providence of God, been given them in fuch a manner as has been held to make a Legal Settlement, if not from the earliest times, at least by the Stat. 11. of H. 7. and they very indifcreetly yield, that the Statute supposes some other to have right besides the King and Sovereign Lord for the time being; which is abfurd

absurd in it self, and against the manifest intent of the Statute. Nor can any reasonable man think, that this was the Judgment of H. 7th's. Parliament, 11. H. 7. when the Parliament in the first of his Reign, had not only Ordained, Established, and Declared, That the Inheritances of the Crowns of the Realm of England and France, be, stand, and remain in H. 7. and in the Heirs of his Body for ever, and in 1 H. 7. n. 16. no other Persons: But by another Act Reversed the Attainder of H. 6. of the Younger House, for acting against E. 4. of the Elder, even after E. 4 had been received and submitted to by the States; which Attainder of H. 6, the statute declares to be contrary to due Allegiance and due Order, plainly supposing that while he had the Possession, he was the only King and Sovereign Lord.

Stat. 1 . H. 7.

Restitutio H.

Though I am tempted to enter into a large field of the Judgment of the most ancient times, I purposely forbear, and shall only observe and remove another Objection, or rather Prejudice, from the repeated Doctrine of the Church of England.

As I take it nothing ought to be received for the Doctrine of the Church which is not to be found in its Articles, or Homilies; or at least Canons duly made and received, but they as well require Active Obedience to Lawful Governors, as Passive; and yet none but Papifts will fay, That we were bound actually to affist King James to Subdue his Protestant Subjects, or that only Power, which, without a Miracle, could Support them in the Profession of their Religion; or, as a late Writer has it, cover their Heads while they treated.

And whatever the Articles, Homilies, or received Canons of the Church argue to be the Duty of Subjects; to whom Subjection is due, in no Point explained by them, it being supposed that the Laws of the Land, and they whom the Constitution intrusts with declaring the Law, should determine that Question.

And, besides, some think they have the Bishop Overal's Canons of a Convocation in King James the Book. First's time (as there certainly was the Approbation of the Clergy in Queen Elizabeth's, of her Interpolition in other Kingdoms) to balance whatever may be drawn from the Publick Acts of the Church of England, to the Prejudice of this present Government,

vernment, or of those means by which it was established.

But the Dispute of King of Right, and King in Fact, is taken from Popish times: And, since the Reformation has left us a clear Stage; and the Judgment of the Parliament, I E. IV. on which they who argue for King James his Right chiefly rely, is on our side, we need not fear the forces of all Antiquity; especially when we consider that the Clergy of old Times, who were our Historians, Judges, and Chief Lawyers, and the Penmen of the Publick Transactions, had that Dependency on the Pope which made them stand indifferent between Prince and People.

The Vindicator
of the pretended Royal

Martyr.

Vid. Sup.

I must consess, it has been to me a Mystery, how the Non-Swearers came to charge all, who are not of their Schism, with Apostacy from the Church of England, till one of their Champions has been so weak, as to quit the Advantage of Clamour upon a general Charge, by shewing how they proceed to prove it. One, against whom he writes, having pressed to know from what Article or Homily of our Church, Allegiance to the late King can be enforced. "Sure, says the "Champion, he cannot forget that God's written Word, and that only, is our entire and adequate Rule of Faith: And the best "Inter-

"Interpreter of it for us to follow, is the "most unanimous Exposition of it, avowed by the Dostrine of our Church-men, and "the agreeable and constant Practice of the Church.

Now, what is this but the Popish Doctrine of Tradition, stript naked and distributed of all its Raggs of seeming Authority? If it takes in the unanimous Exposition of Church-men, and the Practice of the Church in all Ages, he must pray in Aid of the Church of Rome: And, unless this Writer is a Papist (as it is to be presumed that they who charge the Body of our Clergy, and others, with Apostacy are) why shall his Rule of Faith hold in relation to Government, and not in all other things? And how could a Reformation be duly made, contrary to this Rule?

If he urges this as a Protestant, he goes a step beyond the Papists; who, as I take it, rely not on Tradition, but as they suppose it an Evidence of what has been the Doctrine of the Church in all Ages; but place no Authority to judge of the Tradition in any under a Pope; or rather, according to most of them, a Conneil, solemnly assembled after Invocation of the Holy Ghost; but here the diffusive Body of Church men must be Divinely inspired: Yet what ever they may pretend.

pretend, the Holy Spirit may as truly be faid to come in a Cloke-bag from France, as formerly from Rome to Trent : But Doctrines, it feems, are to be thought true or false according to the Pole, or rather the Noise; there never having been a fair and regular Pole, and casting up of their Voices, that I have heard of: Generally the Lowdest, the Forwardest, and the most Injuditious, have, without any manner of Election, pretended to Represent the rest: When, one would think, they might be fatisfied if the Laity would yield to their Request, That the Bi-Shops, Presbyters, and others, elected by the Clergy; that is, a Convocation without a Parliament, may be the Church of England Re-presentitive. But as Church-men are jealous enough of their own Rights, it is not to be thought, that they will be concluded by the Books or Sermons of those whom they never chose to make offers in the Name of all.

This I am sure was their Apology in King James his Reign; and those of the New Separation were as forward as any to disclaim and renounce all Pretences of this kind. Their present Champion seems to make a difference between the Church and Church-men, mentioning the Doctrine of Church men, and constant Practice of the Church; whereas in truth the Abdication, or Abro-

Abrogation of one King, and Submission to another in his Life time, has never been a Question before since the Reformation, and now it is become a Question the Church of England has declared for, and submitted to the King and Queen, who now fill the Throne after a Vacancy.

If we look backward, to fee what the Church of England, or the State including the Church, has done or thought in former Ages, we shall find what is charged as a Departure from our Church, to be no new thing.

Certain it is, in Popish times they have set aside Princes of their own Religion, without consulting the Oracle at Rome, upon Cases clear by the Constitution: Nor, if there were any doubt, is it likely that they would have run the hazard of losing that Opportunity, which they had upon the first appearing unanimous for casting off a National Burden; the Resentment of which, a small delay might cool.

Can any Period be assigned in the dark Ages of Popery, when, if a Prince who had clearer light, should, with a few to whom he communicated his Intentions, have endeavoured to impose his Way of Worship, upon a Nation, confirmed not only by constrant Practice, but firm Laws, in a contrary Religion; or should have given manifest Indications, that he would use all his Authority to this end; the Clergy would have been less scrupulous than the Doctors of the Sorbon were, in declaring against Henry the Fourth of France, while he prosessed himself a Protestant? Nay, would not the Body of the Nation have declared, That a Prince of a Religion contrary to that of his Country, was incapable to govern?

If of themselves, or through the interpofition of some foreign Prince, or State, they had secured the free Profession of their Religion, and the Benefit of the Laws which upheld-it, would they, with blinder Protestants at this day, have defired the return of their Fears? Would they have wished for such Success to the Waldenses. or Albigenses, the only visible Powers then against them, as some, who would be thought Protestants, do to the French? Nay, when they swarmed with Legends of Miracles, which were thought almost as plentiful as the Gods of Egypt, springing up in the Gardens; would they have expected

pected Deliverance from their own Prayers and Wishes?

I know the common Refuge, Let Juftice be done, and leave the Event to Providence: But ought we to tempt Providence to destroy us, by our promoting against the Interest of our Religion, that which we are not, cannot be assured to be the cause of Justice? Can it be Justice to sacrifice our Religion and Civil Rights, to the Humour, or Bigotry, or Revenge, of one who had manifested, That he would not govern as King of a Protestant Kingdom, or of a Kingdom where the Law, had placed Boundaries to the Will of the Prince?

What do we not owe to a Deliverer who has freed us at home from all true Causes of Fear, but from our selves? Who is the Support of the Confederates, and the Head and Life of their Armies, and, under God, has put an Hook into the Nostrils of the The French great Leviathan, who so lately sported him-king. self in the Waters? What a Blessing is it, that when the Cause of Christendom calls him to other Regions, his Throne is not left empty, but adorned with a Queen, to whom the true Religion, and her Native Country, are the nearest Relations; and

who gains not more Hearts by the ferene and awful Majesty of her Looks, than she defeats Enemies by a Courage more than Female, and only not Divine? Indeed what less than a Divine Power can vanquish the Timidity and Irrefolution in times of Danger, natural to the Tenderness of the fair Sex? And in what Age, or Nation, can we find her Parallel, but in our admired Queen Elizabeth, whom all Generations to come shall bless, for establishing the Protestant Religion at home, and supporting it abroad. at a time when the King of Spain was the Darling of the Pope and Jesuites, and by their Assistance stood as fair for an Universal Monarchy, as the French King did, before the interpolition of their present Majesties.

It has been observed, that ever since the defeat of the Spanish Armada, by Queen Elizabeth's truly Invincible Fleet, that Monarchy has wither'd, like a Plant wounded at the root: And we have great grounds to hope, that the French Röyal Sun, which by our late Naval Victory has been forced to set in the British Seas, never to rise again, is a true Emblem of that Monarchy.

And God feems to have designed it in his Providence, as a Presage of his farther Gra-

Gracious Intentions, towards the Professors of the True Religion; that That Family, out of which the Papists culled the Noblest Sacrifice, yields a living Heroe, to Avenge the Death of the Brave Lord RUSSELL; and to Vindicate that Cause for which he died.

FINIS.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN THE

HENRY E. HUNTINGTON LIBRARY

FOR REFERENCE ONLY. NOT FOR REPRODUCTION

Books lately printed for Ric. Chiswel.

A Vindication of their Majesties Authority, to fill the Sees of the Deprived Bishops, in a Letter out of the Country, occasioned by Dr. B.—— 's Resulal of the Bishoprick of Bash and Wells.

A Discourse concerning the Unreasonableness of a New Separation on account of the Oaths: With an Aniwer to the History

of Passive Obedience, so far as relates to Them.

A Vindication of the faid Discourse, concerning the Unreafonableness of a New Separation, from the Exceptions made against it, in a Tract called, A brief Answer to the said Discourse, &c.

The present State of Germany; by a Person of Quality, 8vo.

The Judgment of God upon the Roman Catholick Church, from its first rigid Laws for Universal Conformity to it, unto its last End. With a Prospect of these near approaching Revolutions, viz. The Revival of the Protestant Prosession in an Eminent Kingdom, where it was totally suppressed. The last End of all Taykish Hostilities. The general Mortification of the Parts of the Roman Church in all Parts of its Dominions. By DR UE CRES NER, D. D. Ato.

ANGLIA SACRA, Sive Collectio Historiarum antiquitus Scriptarum de Archiepiscopis de Episcopis Anglia, à Prima Fidei Christiane susceptione ad Annum MD XL. Opera Hen. What-

toni A. M. In 2 Vol. Fol.

Mr. R US HWO RTH's Historical Collections; the Third Part, in Two Volumes in Folio; from the Eeginning of the Long Parliament, 1640, to the End of the Year 1644. Wherein is a particular Account of the Rise and Progress of that Civil War to that Period.

